|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| States | Number of Turfs |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1 |
| Anonymous | 97 |
| Chandigarh | 3 |
| Delhi | 98 |
| Dubai | 6 |
| Gujarat | 48 |
| Haryana | 41 |
| Karnataka | 112 |
| Kerala | 200 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 2 |
| Maharashtra | 776 |
| Manipur | 14 |
| Meghalaya | 4 |
| Mizoram | 2 |
| Nagaland | 1 |
| Rajasthan | 7 |
| Singapore | 13 |
| Tamil Nadu | 206 |
| Telangana | 184 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 11 |
| West Bengal | 3 |
| Grand Total | **1829** |

**STASTICAL REPRESENTATION OF NUMBER OF TURFS WITH RESPECT TO STATES**

Here, Anonymous means the location of the data is unknown. Although the contact number/ email address/ website may be available. All these 97 data are not in unreachable mode. We have two countries also mentioned above that are present in our data namely: Dubai and Singapore. If we consider the turfs only from India then,

Grand Total = 1829-(6+13)

= **1810**

**VISUALIZATION OF TURFS DATA VS LOCATION**

Above graphical representation shows that:

In our data, MAHARASHTRA has the maximum number of turfs i.e. 776, while Andhra Pradesh and Mizoram are with the minimum number of turfs. If we think percentage wise distribution of turfs then the following table can give better idea:

So**, top 3 states are:**

**MAHARASTRA (42.43%)**

**TAMIL NADU (11.26%)**

**KERELA (10.93%)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| STATES | NUMBER OF TURFS | % CONTRIBUTION IN WHOLE DATA |
| Andhra Pradesh | 1 | 0.05% |
| Anonymous | 97 | 5.30% |
| Chandigarh | 3 | 0.16% |
| Delhi | 98 | 5.36% |
| Dubai | 6 | 0.33% |
| Gujarat | 48 | 2.62% |
| Haryana | 41 | 2.24% |
| Karnataka | 112 | 6.12% |
| Kerala | 200 | 10.93% |
| Madhya Pradesh | 2 | 0.11% |
| Maharashtra | 776 | 42.43% |
| Manipur | 14 | 0.77% |
| Meghalaya | 4 | 0.22% |
| Mizoram | 2 | 0.11% |
| Nagaland | 1 | 0.05% |
| Rajasthan | 7 | 0.38% |
| Singapore | 13 | 0.71% |
| Tamil Nadu | 206 | 11.26% |
| Telangana | 184 | 10.06% |
| Uttar Pradesh | 11 | 0.60% |
| West Bengal | 3 | 0.16% |